

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/812,466  | 03/30/2004  | Sanjeev M. Naik      | GP-303149              | 3142            |
| 7590 12/13/2005   |             | EXAMINER             |                        |                 |
| KATHRYN A MARRA   |             |                      | NGUYEN, TU MINH        |                 |
| General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21  ART UNIT |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                        |                 |
| P.O. Box 300  |             |                      | 3748                   |                 |
| Detroit, MI 4   | 8265-3000   |                      | DATE MAH ED. 12/12/200 | _               |

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |   |   | 6      |
|---|---|---|--------|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |        |
|   | 10/812,466  | NAIK, SANJEEV M.  |        |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |        |
|   | Tu M. Nguyen  | 3748  |        |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  | ••     |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |
| Status  |   |   |        |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.   | ovember 2005.   |   |        |
|   | action is non-final.  |   |        |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar<br>closed in accordance with the practice under E   |   |   | ts is  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |        |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.  |   |        |
| Application Papers  |   |   |        |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  |   | to butho Eversion   |        |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a   |   |   |        |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | • ,   |   | 21(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | ·   | •   | • •    |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |        |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applica<br>ity documents have been receiv<br>ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | tion No<br>red in this National Stage                                   | ,      |
|   |   |   |        |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |        |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   |   |        |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |        |

Application/Control Number: 10/812,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on November 15, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, and 9-11 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-13 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. (U.S. Patent 6,253,546).

Re claims 1, 6, and 10, as shown in Figures 1-4, Sun et al. disclose a method, a system, and an article of manufacture (15) comprising a storage medium (84) having a computer program encoded therein for controlling a direct-injection gasoline engine (10) during regeneration of a lean NOx trap (53) disposed in an exhaust path of the engine, the regeneration characterized by a transition from stratified lean engine operation to homogeneous rich engine operation, comprising:

- determining a base desired torque (brake torque) (lines 7-24 of column 4);
- estimating a decrease in engine torque that would result from transitioning from stratified lean engine operation to homogeneous rich engine operation during a lean NOx trap

Application/Control Number: 10/812,466

Art Unit: 3748

regeneration (during the regeneration, a reduction of intake manifold pressure is computed in expression (2); this reduction causes a decrease in engine torque (also see lines 25-43 of column 4)); and

- applying a feed-forward compensating control torque (fueling rate or spark timing adjustment) to the engine in an amount sufficient to compensate for the estimated decrease in engine torque thereby maintaining the base desired torque level during the lean NOx trap regeneration (see line 43 of column 4 to line 19 of column 5).

Re claims 2, 7, and 11, in the method, system, and article of manufacture of Sun et al., estimating a decrease in engine torque comprises (see lines 28-43 of column 4):

- determining a desired mass of air charge (lines 33-34 of column 4) and exhaust gas recirculation (lines 36-38 of column 4) for a lean NOx trap regeneration;
- determining a reference value  $(P_m)$  for manifold absolute pressure for the lean NOx trap regeneration; and
- determining a feed-forward compensating control torque value (see expression (3)) sufficient to maintain the base desired torque level during lean NOx trap regeneration from the determined desired mass of air charge and exhaust gas recirculation and the determined reference value for manifold absolute pressure.

Re claims 3, 8, and 12, in the method, system, and article of manufacture of Sun et al., applying a compensating control torque to the engine comprises increasing fueling to the engine in an amount sufficient to effect said compensating control torque (see Figure 4D, lines 27-30 of column 5, and lines 12-19 of column 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,466

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 4, in the method of Sun et al., determining a base desired torque is accomplished in accordance with one or more of a throttle pedal position, a cruise control setting and an idle speed control (lines 9-24 of column 4).

Re claims 5, 9, and 13, the method and article of manufacture of Sun et al. further comprising (see Figure 2 and lines 37-42 and 48-50 of column 3):

- determining the end of the lean NOx trap regeneration event; and
- ending the step of applying a compensating control torque at the end of the lean NOx trap regeneration.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Sun et al. fail to disclose or suggest a feed-forward compensating control torque value (page 10 of Applicant's Amendment), the examiner respectfully disagrees.

As indicated in the Abstract, Sun et al. state that "The method includes the steps of generating feedforward values of first engine characteristics as a function of desired engine torque and generating feedback values of second engine characteristics as a function of intake manifold pressure. Target values are then calculated for predetermined engine variables based on the first and second engine characteristics. Engine variables are then set to the target values to compensate for torque disturbances resulting from the lean NOx trap purge cycle." This

Art Unit: 3748

method is similar to that in the pending application in which during a regeneration mode of a NOx trap, desired mass of air charge and EGR are computed and fed-forward to a controller in step 210. Based on these feedforward values, a compensating torque value is computed in step 214 and engine variables such as spark and fuel injection timing are adjusted based on this compensating torque value (step 218). Since the reference of Sun et al. describes a similar method to control torque as that of the pending application, it is clear to all that Sun et al. disclose a feed-forward compensating control torque value.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

#### Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TMN** 

December 8, 2005

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit 3748